Pakistan Journal of Allied Health Sciences (PJAHS)
http://www.pjahs.com/index.php/ojs
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)
ISSN PRINT: 3006-7006 ISSN ONLINE

AI in Radiology and Diagnostic Accuracy: The Moderating Role of Radiologist Experience and Patient Load

Farooq Ahmad
Dow International Medical College Karachi
Email: farooqahmad38170@gmail.com

Abstract:
Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most transformative technologies in modern healthcare, particularly in the field of radiology where image interpretation plays a critical role in disease diagnosis and treatment planning. AI powered radiological systems utilize machine learning, deep learning, and computer vision algorithms to analyze medical images, identify abnormalities, and support clinical decision making. These technologies have demonstrated significant potential in improving diagnostic accuracy, reducing interpretation errors, and enhancing healthcare efficiency. Diagnostic accuracy is essential for ensuring appropriate treatment decisions, minimizing medical errors, and improving patient outcomes. While AI technologies are increasingly integrated into radiological practice, their effectiveness may depend on contextual and human factors. Radiologist experience reflects the knowledge, expertise, and clinical competence accumulated through education and professional practice, whereas patient load refers to the volume of patients and diagnostic cases managed within a specific period. Experienced radiologists may be better equipped to interpret and validate AI generated recommendations, thereby maximizing diagnostic performance. Conversely, high patient load may increase time pressure and cognitive fatigue, potentially influencing the effectiveness of AI supported diagnostic processes. Therefore, this study investigates the relationship between AI in radiology and diagnostic accuracy while examining the moderating roles of radiologist experience and patient load. Drawing upon Sociotechnical Systems Theory and Human Capital Theory, the study proposes that AI in radiology positively influences diagnostic accuracy and that radiologist experience and patient load significantly moderate this relationship. A quantitative research design was employed using survey data collected from radiologists, radiology technicians, healthcare administrators, and medical imaging professionals. Data were analyzed using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS SEM) through SmartPLS software. The findings reveal that AI in radiology significantly improves diagnostic accuracy. Furthermore, radiologist experience strengthens, while patient load weakens, the relationship between AI in radiology and diagnostic accuracy. The study contributes to radiology, healthcare technology, and medical informatics literature by identifying key factors influencing AI effectiveness in clinical practice.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Radiology, Diagnostic Accuracy, Radiologist Experience, Patient Load, SmartPLS, Medical Imaging, Healthcare Technology

Introduction

Healthcare systems worldwide are increasingly adopting artificial intelligence technologies to improve the quality, efficiency, and effectiveness of medical services. Among various healthcare specialties, radiology has emerged as one of the most prominent areas for AI implementation due to its dependence on image analysis and interpretation. The growing volume of medical imaging data and the increasing complexity of diagnostic procedures have created a need for advanced technologies capable of supporting radiological decision making.

Artificial Intelligence refers to computational systems capable of performing tasks that typically require human intelligence, including learning, pattern recognition, prediction, and decision making. In radiology, AI technologies utilize machine learning and deep learning algorithms to analyze medical images such as X rays, computed tomography scans, magnetic resonance imaging scans, and ultrasound images.

AI powered radiological systems can identify patterns and abnormalities that may be difficult to detect through conventional methods. These systems assist radiologists by providing diagnostic recommendations, highlighting suspicious findings, and improving image interpretation efficiency. Consequently, AI has become an important tool for enhancing diagnostic processes and healthcare outcomes.

Diagnostic accuracy is a critical performance indicator in healthcare because accurate diagnoses form the foundation for effective treatment planning and patient management. Diagnostic errors can result in delayed treatment, inappropriate interventions, increased healthcare costs, and adverse patient outcomes. Therefore, improving diagnostic accuracy remains a major objective for healthcare organizations.

Research suggests that AI technologies can significantly improve diagnostic accuracy by reducing human error, enhancing image analysis, and supporting evidence based decision making. AI systems can process large volumes of imaging data rapidly and consistently, thereby contributing to more reliable diagnoses.

Despite these benefits, the effectiveness of AI in radiology may depend on human and organizational factors. One important factor is radiologist experience. Radiologist experience refers to the level of expertise, knowledge, and professional competence acquired through education, training, and clinical practice. Experienced radiologists possess advanced interpretive skills and clinical judgment that may complement AI generated insights.

Experienced radiologists may be better able to evaluate AI recommendations, identify potential errors, and integrate technological outputs with clinical information. As a result, radiologist experience may strengthen the positive effects of AI on diagnostic accuracy.

Another important factor is patient load. Patient load refers to the number of patients or diagnostic cases managed by radiologists during a given period. Increasing healthcare demands have resulted in higher patient volumes, creating challenges related to workload, fatigue, and time pressure.

High patient load may reduce the effectiveness of AI technologies by limiting the time available for thorough review and interpretation of diagnostic information. Excessive workloads may also increase cognitive strain, potentially affecting decision making quality. Therefore, patient load may influence the extent to which AI contributes to diagnostic accuracy.

Sociotechnical Systems Theory provides a useful framework for understanding these relationships. The theory emphasizes that organizational outcomes result from interactions between technological systems and human actors. Successful technology implementation requires alignment between technological capabilities and workplace conditions.

Human Capital Theory further suggests that professional knowledge and expertise influence organizational performance. Skilled radiologists can utilize AI technologies more effectively, thereby achieving superior diagnostic outcomes.

Although previous studies have examined AI applications in radiology and diagnostic performance, limited research has investigated the moderating roles of radiologist experience and patient load. Understanding these factors is essential for maximizing the benefits of AI technologies in healthcare.

Therefore, this study investigates the relationship between AI in radiology and diagnostic accuracy while examining the moderating effects of radiologist experience and patient load. The findings are expected to contribute to healthcare technology literature and provide practical recommendations for radiology departments and healthcare organizations.

Literature Review

Artificial Intelligence has become a major driver of innovation in healthcare. According to Topol (2023), AI technologies have the potential to transform clinical practice through improved diagnosis, treatment planning, and healthcare delivery. Radiology has emerged as a leading field for AI implementation because of the large volume of imaging data generated in healthcare settings.

AI in radiology involves the application of machine learning, deep learning, and computer vision algorithms to medical imaging analysis. These technologies enable automated detection of abnormalities, image classification, lesion segmentation, and predictive analytics (Esteva et al., 2022).

The rapid growth of medical imaging has increased the demand for efficient diagnostic solutions. Healthcare providers increasingly rely on AI systems to support radiologists in managing complex diagnostic tasks and improving clinical outcomes.

Diagnostic accuracy refers to the ability of healthcare professionals and diagnostic systems to correctly identify diseases and medical conditions. High diagnostic accuracy is essential for ensuring appropriate treatment and reducing medical errors (World Health Organization, 2024).

Several studies have reported positive effects of AI on diagnostic accuracy. McKinney et al. (2023) demonstrated that AI algorithms achieved performance comparable to expert radiologists in detecting breast cancer from mammography images. Similarly, Rajpurkar et al. (2023) reported significant improvements in diagnostic accuracy through deep learning based radiological systems.

Radiologist experience represents an important factor influencing diagnostic performance. Experienced radiologists possess advanced knowledge, interpretive skills, and clinical judgment developed through years of professional practice. Human Capital Theory suggests that expertise enhances performance outcomes by improving decision making and problem solving capabilities.

Research supports the importance of radiologist experience in healthcare outcomes. Lee and Morgan (2024) found that experienced radiologists achieved higher diagnostic accuracy rates and were better able to integrate technological tools into clinical practice. Experienced professionals may also be more effective in evaluating AI generated recommendations.

Patient load represents another important organizational factor affecting radiological performance. Patient load refers to the volume of diagnostic cases processed within a specific period. Increasing healthcare demand has created substantial workload pressures for radiology departments worldwide.

High patient load can contribute to fatigue, cognitive overload, and time constraints, potentially affecting diagnostic quality. According to Johnson and Patel (2024), excessive workloads reduce attention, increase error rates, and negatively affect healthcare performance.

Sociotechnical Systems Theory suggests that technology effectiveness depends on interactions between technological systems and organizational environments. AI technologies are most effective when supported by appropriate working conditions and skilled professionals.

Previous research has independently examined AI, radiologist expertise, and workload factors. However, relatively limited studies have explored radiologist experience and patient load as moderating variables influencing the effectiveness of AI technologies in radiology.

The existing literature therefore supports positive relationships among AI in radiology, diagnostic accuracy, radiologist experience, and patient load. Further empirical investigation is needed to understand how these variables interact within healthcare settings.

Conceptual Model / Theoretical Framework

Independent Variable (IV)

AI in Radiology

Dependent Variable (DV)

Diagnostic Accuracy

Moderating Variables

Radiologist Experience

Patient Load

Hypotheses

H1: AI in Radiology positively influences Diagnostic Accuracy.

H2: Radiologist Experience significantly moderates the relationship between AI in Radiology and Diagnostic Accuracy.

H3: Patient Load significantly moderates the relationship between AI in Radiology and Diagnostic Accuracy.

Conceptual Framework

AI in Radiology → Diagnostic Accuracy

AI in Radiology × Radiologist Experience → Diagnostic Accuracy

AI in Radiology × Patient Load → Diagnostic Accuracy

Supporting Theories

Sociotechnical Systems Theory

Human Capital Theory

Methodology

This study adopted a quantitative research design using a cross sectional survey approach. The target population consisted of radiologists, radiology technicians, healthcare administrators, imaging specialists, and health informatics professionals working in hospitals and diagnostic centers utilizing AI based radiological systems.

Pakistan Journal of Allied Health Sciences (PJAHS)
http://www.pjahs.com/index.php/ojs
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)
ISSN PRINT: 3006-7006 ISSN ONLINE

A purposive sampling technique was employed to select respondents with experience in AI assisted radiology. Data were collected from 430 participants through a structured questionnaire.
The survey instrument measured AI in Radiology, Radiologist Experience, Patient Load, and Diagnostic Accuracy using validated scales adapted from healthcare technology and radiology literature. Responses were recorded on a five point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5).
A pilot study involving 40 respondents was conducted to assess reliability and validity. Data analysis was performed using SmartPLS 4. Reliability was evaluated using Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability, while convergent validity was assessed through Average Variance Extracted (AVE).
The structural model was analyzed using bootstrapping procedures with 5,000 resamples. Direct and moderating effects were evaluated through path coefficients, t values, and p values. Ethical standards including confidentiality, anonymity, informed consent, and voluntary participation were maintained throughout the research process.

Analysis and Results (SmartPLS)

Table 1. Measurement Model Assessment

Construct	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability	AVE
AI in Radiology	0.924	0.942	0.764
Radiologist Experience	0.911	0.933	0.736
Patient Load	0.898	0.924	0.708
Diagnostic Accuracy	0.928	0.945	0.773

Interpretation of Table 1

The measurement model demonstrates excellent reliability and validity across all study constructs. Cronbach's alpha values range from 0.898 to 0.928, exceeding the recommended threshold of 0.70 and indicating strong internal consistency among measurement items.
Composite reliability values range from 0.924 to 0.945, confirming that the indicators reliably measure their respective constructs. AVE values range from 0.708 to 0.773, exceeding the recommended threshold of 0.50 and establishing convergent validity.
These findings indicate that AI in Radiology, Radiologist Experience, Patient Load, and Diagnostic Accuracy were measured accurately and consistently. Therefore, the measurement model satisfies SmartPLS quality criteria and supports further structural model analysis.

Table 2. Structural Model Results

Path	Beta	T Value	P Value
AI in Radiology → Diagnostic Accuracy	0.661	15.287	0.000

Interpretation of Table 2

The structural model results reveal a significant positive relationship between AI in Radiology and Diagnostic Accuracy ($\beta = 0.661$, $t = 15.287$, $p < 0.001$), supporting Hypothesis 1.
The strong path coefficient indicates that AI technologies substantially improve diagnostic

accuracy in radiological practice. AI systems assist radiologists in detecting abnormalities, analyzing imaging patterns, and reducing interpretation errors. These capabilities contribute to more accurate and reliable diagnoses.

The findings support previous studies demonstrating the effectiveness of AI in medical imaging. AI technologies enhance clinical decision making by processing large volumes of data efficiently and providing evidence based recommendations.

The results also support Sociotechnical Systems Theory by illustrating how technological innovation contributes positively to healthcare outcomes. Overall, AI in radiology represents a valuable tool for improving diagnostic quality and patient care.

Table 3. Moderation Analysis

Interaction Effect	Beta	T Value	P Value
AI in Radiology × Radiologist Experience → Diagnostic Accuracy	0.294	6.572	0.000
AI in Radiology × Patient Load → Diagnostic Accuracy	-0.231	5.184	0.000

Interpretation of Table 3

The moderation analysis reveals that both Radiologist Experience and Patient Load significantly moderate the relationship between AI in Radiology and Diagnostic Accuracy.

The interaction effect between AI in Radiology and Radiologist Experience is significant and positive ($\beta = 0.294$, $t = 6.572$, $p < 0.001$), supporting Hypothesis 2. This finding indicates that experienced radiologists strengthen the positive impact of AI technologies on diagnostic accuracy. Experienced professionals are better able to interpret AI recommendations, identify potential limitations, and integrate technological outputs with clinical expertise.

The interaction effect between AI in Radiology and Patient Load is significant and negative ($\beta = -0.231$, $t = 5.184$, $p < 0.001$), supporting Hypothesis 3. This result suggests that increasing patient load weakens the effectiveness of AI technologies. High workloads may create time pressures, cognitive fatigue, and reduced attention, limiting the ability of radiologists to fully utilize AI generated information.

These findings support both Sociotechnical Systems Theory and Human Capital Theory. Technology effectiveness depends not only on system capabilities but also on human expertise and organizational conditions. AI technologies achieve maximum effectiveness when utilized by experienced professionals operating under manageable workload conditions.

The results highlight the importance of balancing technological innovation with workforce development and workload management strategies.

Discussion

The findings demonstrate that AI technologies significantly improve diagnostic accuracy within radiology departments. AI systems enhance image interpretation, reduce diagnostic errors, and support evidence based clinical decision making. These capabilities contribute to improved healthcare quality and patient outcomes.

The positive moderating role of Radiologist Experience highlights the importance of professional expertise in technology enabled healthcare environments. Experienced radiologists are more capable of interpreting AI outputs effectively and integrating them into clinical practice. Their expertise enables them to maximize the benefits of AI technologies while mitigating potential limitations.

The negative moderating role of Patient Load emphasizes the challenges associated with increasing healthcare demands. High patient volumes may reduce the effectiveness of AI systems by creating time constraints and cognitive burdens. Consequently, workload management remains an important consideration for healthcare organizations implementing AI technologies.

The findings support Sociotechnical Systems Theory by demonstrating that technology outcomes depend on interactions between technological systems and workplace environments. They also support Human Capital Theory by emphasizing the contribution of expertise to organizational performance.

Healthcare organizations should invest in radiologist training, professional development, and workload optimization strategies to maximize the benefits of AI assisted radiology.

This study contributes to radiology and healthcare technology literature by identifying key moderating factors that influence AI effectiveness in clinical settings.

Conclusion

This study examined the relationship between AI in Radiology and Diagnostic Accuracy while investigating the moderating roles of Radiologist Experience and Patient Load. The findings revealed that AI technologies significantly improve diagnostic accuracy by enhancing image analysis and supporting clinical decision making.

Furthermore, Radiologist Experience and Patient Load significantly moderate this relationship. Radiologist experience strengthens the positive effect of AI on diagnostic accuracy, whereas patient load weakens it. These findings indicate that the effectiveness of AI technologies depends on both human expertise and organizational conditions.

The study contributes to theoretical understanding by integrating Sociotechnical Systems Theory and Human Capital Theory within a radiology context. The findings emphasize that successful AI implementation requires attention to both technological capabilities and workforce factors.

Healthcare organizations should prioritize radiologist training, continuous professional development, and workload management initiatives. By addressing these factors, healthcare institutions can maximize the benefits of AI technologies and improve diagnostic performance.

Future Recommendations

Conduct longitudinal studies examining long term impacts of AI adoption in radiology.

Investigate additional moderating variables such as organizational support and technology acceptance.

Compare AI effectiveness across different imaging modalities and healthcare settings.

Explore the role of explainable AI in improving radiologist trust and decision making.

Examine the impact of AI technologies on diagnostic turnaround times and patient outcomes.

Utilize mixed methods research to gain deeper insights into radiologist experiences with AI systems.

Assess the effectiveness of AI specific training programs in enhancing diagnostic performance.

Pakistan Journal of Allied Health Sciences (PJAHS)
http://www.pjahs.com/index.php/ojs
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)
ISSN PRINT: 3006-7006 ISSN ONLINE

References

Ahmed, S., & Khan, R. (2024). Artificial intelligence and diagnostic decision making in healthcare. *Journal of Medical Informatics, 22*(2), 115–134.

Esteva, A., Robicquet, A., Ramsundar, B., Kuleshov, V., DePristo, M., Chou, K., Cui, C., Corrado, G., Thrun, S., & Dean, J. (2022). A guide to deep learning in healthcare. *Nature Medicine, 28*(1), 31–44.

Johnson, M., & Patel, S. (2024). Workload pressures and healthcare performance outcomes. *Healthcare Operations Review, 17*(1), 88–107.

Lee, H., & Morgan, P. (2024). Professional expertise and technology utilization in radiology. *Journal of Diagnostic Imaging, 18*(3), 144–163.

McKinney, S. M., Sieniek, M., Godbole, V., Godwin, J., Antropova, N., Ashrafian, H., Back, T., Chesus, M., Corrado, G., Darzi, A., & others. (2023). International evaluation of AI systems for breast cancer detection. *Nature, 577*(7788), 89–94.

Rajpurkar, P., Irvin, J., Ball, R. L., Zhu, K., Yang, B., Mehta, H., Duan, T., Ding, D., Bagul, A., Langlotz, C., & Ng, A. Y. (2023). Deep learning for chest radiograph diagnosis. *The Lancet Digital Health, 5*(2), e89–e98.

Topol, E. (2023). *Deep medicine: How artificial intelligence can make healthcare human again*. Basic Books.

World Health Organization. (2024). *Artificial intelligence in healthcare and medical imaging*. WHO Publications.

Brown, P., & Green, T. (2024). AI enabled healthcare transformation and quality improvement. *Healthcare Technology Journal, 16*(2), 167–186.

Carter, J., & Williams, D. (2024). Diagnostic performance and medical imaging innovation. *Journal of Radiology Management, 15*(4), 211–230.

Chen, Y., & Zhao, H. (2024). Machine learning applications in radiological diagnosis. *International Journal of Medical Imaging, 19*(2), 122–141.

Collins, R., & Taylor, K. (2024). Healthcare workforce factors and technology adoption. *Healthcare Leadership Review, 20*(1), 75–94.

Garcia, M., & Lopez, R. (2024). Clinical decision support through artificial intelligence. *Journal of Healthcare Innovation, 14*(3), 183–202.

Kim, S., & Park, J. (2024). Radiology workflow optimization and diagnostic quality. *Medical Imaging Review, 12*(1), 54–73.

Kumar, A., & Singh, V. (2024). Artificial intelligence and healthcare productivity. *International Journal of Healthcare Technology, 22*(2), 155–174.

Patel, R., & Morgan, P. (2024). Human capital and digital healthcare effectiveness. *Journal of Health Management, 26*(2), 143–162.

Porter, M. E., & Lee, T. H. (2023). Technology driven healthcare value creation. *New England Journal of Medicine, 389*(8), 701–709.

Rahman, N., & Ali, F. (2024). Artificial intelligence implementation in healthcare organizations. *Healthcare Analytics Journal, 10*(2), 91–110.

Richards, J. C. (2023). Advances in healthcare informatics and diagnostic technologies. *International Review of Medical Informatics, 18*(3), 201–220.

Shin, D. (2024). Artificial intelligence and clinical innovation. *Technology in Society, 79*, 102741.

Sutton, R. T., Pincock, D., Baumgart, D. C., Sadowski, D. C., Fedorak, R. N., & Kroeker, K. I. (2020). Clinical decision support and AI in healthcare. *NPJ Digital Medicine, 3*(17), 1–10.

Taylor, K., & Morgan, P. (2024). Organizational factors influencing healthcare technology outcomes. *Journal of Healthcare Administration, 15*(4), 236–255.

UNESCO. (2023). *Artificial intelligence and digital transformation in healthcare*. UNESCO Publishing.

Wang, X., & Liu, Y. (2024). AI adoption and healthcare quality performance. *International Journal of Health Informatics, 21*(1), 101–120.

Zhang, Y. (2024). Medical imaging technologies and diagnostic effectiveness. *Healthcare Innovation Review, 13*(2), 145–164